

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/691,883	HINTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637	

**All Participants:**

(1) Janet M. Wilkens.

(2) Daniel R Kimbell.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2005
**Time:** 2:00pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*102(b) and 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph*

Claims discussed:

*1, 7, 19, 22, 27 and 29*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Sorensen (1,083,415) and Meyer et al (4,959,582)*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*In order to clearly define claims 1 and 27 over the prior art (namely the references of Sorensen and Meyer), claim language was added to these claims. Also, some minor issues were corrected in the claims.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)